

**Murdo, Patricia**

BUSINESS & LABOR

EXHIBIT NO. 4

DATE 3-3-2-11

BILL NO. HB ~~100~~ 100

**From:** Carlson, Amy  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 16, 2011 9:56 AM  
**To:** Murdo, Patricia; 'clarity@cyberport.net'  
**Cc:** Johnson, Terry  
**Subject:** Business Impact statements

I talked to Terry and we have a few suggestions.

- 1) It should be clear that the business impact statement is separate from the fiscal note process. I believe the timing, processing, and independence of the legislative and executive branches would not work for it to be a subset of the fiscal note. I think you would not need (2) on the bottom of the first page
- 2) Would it be okay to limit the number of impact statements to 3 bills per caucus instead of 25 legislators and/or a dollar amount? It would give us a chance to try out the process without risking a large staffing/contracting impact to the legislative branch. I would not know how to plan for an unknown quantity the first session and the legislature would not know the benefits.
- 3) In (2) on the second page, I would strike the words direct or indirect and just leave fiscal impacts to business....

Please let me know your thoughts on these ideas.

**Amy Carlson**

Legislative Fiscal Analyst

Director, Legislative Fiscal Division

[acarlson@mt.gov](mailto:acarlson@mt.gov)

(406) 444-2988

*This would mean  
a different amendment.  
I'll check with Rep Vanecko.*